



MINERAL DIETARY STATUS OF SOME EXISTING DOMESTIC WHEAT GENOTYPES

*M. IMTIAZ, P. KHAN, H. BABAR¹, N. DEPAR, S. H. SIDDIQUI, M. Y. MEMON, M. ASLAM, K. H. SHAH and M. H NAQVI

Nuclear Institute of Agriculture, Tando Jam, Sindh, Pakistan

¹Sindh Agricultural University, Tando Jam, Sindh, Pakistan

(Received April 25, 2005 and accepted in revised form January 16, 2006)

Mineral elements like Cu, Fe and Zn are very crucial for human health and play a key role in various biochemical processes. The daily dietary requirement of a young adult ranges from 10-60 mg for Fe, 2-3 mg for Cu and 15 mg per day for Zn. Intake less than these values can slower physiological processes. The information on the reserves of these elements in staple foods like wheat is scarce. The present study was undertaken to collect such information for wheat and 78 genotypes were analyzed for these nutrients. The results revealed that Zn concentration in seed ranged between 30.4 to 55.5 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ in 31 genotypes, from 21.08 to 29.8 in 37 genotype and from 12.72 to 19.08 in 10 genotypes. Of the different genotypes, 38 were recorded as poor in Zn and ranged from 0.41 to 1.06 $\mu\text{g Zn/seed}$. Rests of the genotypes were either medium or rich in Zn. Considerable variations in Fe and Cu concentrations were also observed in seed of different genotypes. Iron concentration ranged from 13.03 to 52.43 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ while Cu concentration averaged between 2.66 and 6.10 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$.

Keywords: Dietary, Micronutrients, Wheat, Genotypes, Zinc, Mineral.

1. Introduction

Mineral elements like Cu, Fe and Zn are essential for human health as of organic compounds such as carbohydrates, fats, proteins and vitamins. An element is considered to be essential if it is always present in the body with a particular organ, tissue, cell or enzyme and forms a rational basis of action [1]. Although these elements are minute part of whole diet, but play a key role in various biochemical processes [2-3]. Daily intake of Fe, Cu, Zn for a young adult ranges from 10-60 mg, 2-3 mg and 15 mg per day respectively [4]. Intake less than these values can slower physiological processes and body becomes vulnerable to different diseases due to deficiency of these elements.

Mineral nutrient reserves in seed must also be adequate to sustain plant growth until root system takes over the nutrient supply function. During early establishment phase, the mineral nutrients are partly supplied by the soil. Therefore, information on mineral nutrient reserves in seed should be perfect from agricultural point of view as well. It could provide an insight into these genotypes, which are high mineral element

accumulators, and can best be utilized for human consumption as well as agricultural production. In the absence of systematic data it would be very difficult to determine the adequacy of our diet with respect to minerals. Keeping in view their importance in human diet, the seeds of 78 wheat genotypes were analysed for Fe, Cu, and Zn so that information on mineral reserves in seed of domestic genotypes can be generated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Collection of seeds

The seeds of 78 wheat genotypes were collected from different research organizations with specific reference to NIA Tando Jam, NIFA Peshawar, NARC Islamabad, ARI Tando Jam and CCRI Pirsabak (Table-1). The seed was cleaned from any of unwanted foreign materials like stone, dust and wheat straw and stored at 4 °C in refrigerator before further processing. Prior to chemical analysis, the seed of each genotype was dried in forced draft oven at 80 °C and then ground in IKA FM-10 grinding mill to pass through 0.5 mesh sieve.

* Corresponding author : drimtiaaz64@yahoo.com
Mineral dietary status of some existing domestic wheat genotypes

Table 1. Concentration of mineral nutrients in seed of different wheat genotypes.

Genotypes	Zn	Fe	Cu	Genotypes	Zn	Fe	Cu
	$\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$				$\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$		
SD-4	18.13	17.63	3.63	Indus-66	21.70	39.89	3.30
M-172	17.09	31.30	3.32	CM-24/87	24.40	26.23	3.27
Uqab	14.13	19.47	2.66	Anmole	42.87	30.03	3.27
WL-711	17.22	15.40	3.13	Pavan	31.87	23.50	2.90
7- 03	17.40	20.27	3.93	RWM-9313	24.03	17.67	3.20
Zardana	19.01	18.63	3.38	M-233	24.70	27.03	3.40
Sonalika	28.21	16.00	2.95	A-4	24.37	39.93	4.17
Maxipak	12.72	17.57	3.77	A-7	32.53	27.83	3.23
Juhar -78	27.27	18.50	3.30	V-7001	22.80	52.43	3.37
SI- 99-257	21.08	16.27	3.79	V-7002	43.93	33.93	4.07
SI-99-77	22.66	18.40	3.91	V-7003	34.40	32.57	4.33
Soghat -90	18.22	14.03	3.44	V-7004	32.60	30.50	3.43
SI-97-71	31.37	18.17	3.83	V-7005	35.50	29.60	4.27
SI-99-261	42.23	29.23	3.40	V-7010	27.80	23.13	4.30
SI-97-151	40.90	31.03	3.60	V-7012	32.10	24.90	3.67
SI-99-76	43.13	32.30	3.45	V-7014	41.07	18.20	4.43
Abadgar	27.30	13.03	5.80	V-7015	32.30	23.07	3.13
Sindh-81	30.40	18.70	3.20	V-8001	36.07	24.53	3.17
SI-99-15	46.70	38.27	3.63	V-8003	32.97	19.92	3.37
ESW-9525	26.87	14.93	3.20	V-8004	35.13	22.10	3.10
Inqulab-91	25.37	14.23	4.00	V-8006	35.93	23.47	3.10
ESW-9639	25.67	25.43	4.30	IBW-96079	29.37	21.07	3.27
T.J-83	41.33	17.50	3.87	IBW-97170	22.47	17.37	3.00
15-10	41.73	37.50	2.93	CT-99186	31.83	25.37	3.23
Bakhtawar	33.20	18.27	4.20	CT-00054	28.33	21.03	3.37
SI-99-85	24.50	13.03	5.20	CT-97177	27.87	40.87	3.23
SI-99-50	26.50	15.60	4.17	IDA-97107	25.33	19.27	3.37
SI-99-239	45.30	20.47	3.40	Fakhr-e- Sarhad	28.53	26.20	3.63
SI-99-65	55.50	28.23	6.10	CT-0067	30.97	30.93	3.80
Iqbal	38.50	24.93	4.47	WS10	31.13	27.77	3.83
Kiran-95	28.07	23.10	4.67	IDA-97082	15.50	15.50	3.53
Khirman	26.07	21.80	4.07	IBW99110	24.97	25.67	3.47
SD-1200/1	28.45	16.60	4.07	IBW97249	25.67	21.74	3.90
ZA-77	31.93	32.67	3.07	CT-00231	23.73	30.50	3.57
Mangla	34.33	17.27	4.13	CT-00108	27.80	34.93	4.10
Sarsabz	29.07	15.33	3.13	IBW-97057	14.20	23.93	3.70
SI-99-50	28.47	13.47	5.10	IBW-96390	26.70	34.33	4.23
Yecora	24.57	13.27	4.27	IBW-96405	25.47	15.17	4.27
ESW-9650	29.33	24.33	3.33	CT-00019	29.80	38.93	3.90
CV (%)	11.37	11.25	10.92				
LSD	5.33	4.36	0.654				

Table 2. Mineral nutrients contents in grains of wheat genotypes.

Genotypes	Zn	Fe	Cu	Genotypes	Zn	Fe	Cu
	$\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{ seed}^{-1}$				$\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{ Seed}^{-1}$		
SD-4	0.83	0.80	0.17	Indus-66	0.75	1.37	0.11
M-172	0.77	1.40	0.15	CM-24/87	1.07	1.15	0.14
Uqab	0.41	0.56	0.08	Anmole	1.92	1.35	0.15
WL-711	0.84	0.75	0.15	Pavan	1.03	0.76	0.09
7-03	0.70	0.82	0.16	RWM-9313	0.85	0.62	0.11
Zardana	0.79	0.78	0.14	M-233	1.32	1.45	0.18
Sonalika	1.56	0.88	0.16	A-4	1.03	1.69	0.18
Maxipak	0.49	0.68	0.15	A-7	0.91	0.78	0.09
Juhar -78	0.96	0.65	0.12	V-7001	0.94	2.16	0.14
SI-99-257	0.78	0.60	0.14	V-7002	1.93	1.49	0.18
SI-99-77	0.88	0.71	0.15	V-7003	1.27	1.20	0.16
Soghat -90	0.63	0.48	0.12	V-7004	1.28	1.20	0.13
SI-97-71	1.13	0.65	0.14	V-7005	1.26	1.05	0.15
SI-99-261	1.25	0.87	0.10	V-7010	1.07	0.89	0.17
SI-97-151	1.55	1.18	0.14	V-7012	1.23	0.96	0.14
SI-99-76	1.52	1.14	0.12	V-7014	1.63	0.72	0.18
Abadgar	1.19	0.57	0.25	V-7015	1.33	0.95	0.13
Sindh-81	1.24	0.76	0.13	V-8001	1.31	0.89	0.12
SI-99-15	1.76	1.44	0.14	V-8003	1.15	0.69	0.12
ESW-9525	0.95	0.53	0.11	V-8004	1.04	0.65	0.09
Inqulab-91	1.39	0.78	0.22	V-8006	1.28	0.84	0.11
ESW-9639	1.07	1.06	0.18	IBW-96079	1.01	0.72	0.11
T.J-83	1.42	0.60	0.13	IBW-97170	0.83	0.64	0.11
15-10	1.45	1.31	0.10	CT-99186	1.11	0.88	0.11
Bakhtawar	0.94	0.52	0.12	CT-00054	1.07	0.79	0.13
SI-99-85	0.75	0.40	0.16	CT-97177	0.98	1.44	0.11
SI-99-50	1.05	0.62	0.17	IDA-97107	0.87	0.66	0.12
SI-99-239	1.67	0.75	0.13	Fakhr-e- Sarhad	0.89	0.82	0.11
SI-99-65	2.09	1.06	0.23	CT-0067	0.98	0.98	0.12
Iqbal	1.28	0.83	0.15	WS10	1.22	1.09	0.15
Kiran-95	1.19	0.98	0.20	IDA-97082	0.46	0.46	0.10
Khirman	1.27	1.06	0.20	IBW99110	1.11	1.14	0.15
SD-1200/1	1.24	0.72	0.18	IBW97249	0.82	0.70	0.12
ZA-77	1.24	1.27	0.12	CT-00231	0.99	1.27	0.15
Mangla	1.32	0.66	0.16	CT-00108	1.06	1.33	0.16
Sarsabz	1.34	0.71	0.14	IBW-97057	0.74	0.83	0.13
SI-99-50	1.24	0.59	0.22	IBW-96390	0.94	1.21	0.15
Yecora	0.98	0.53	0.17	IBW-96405	0.85	0.50	0.14
ESW-9650	1.48	1.23	0.17	CT-00019	1.07	1.40	0.14
CV (%)	11.51	11.389	10.59				
LSD	0.21	0.169	0.024				

2.2. Seed analysis

Samples of ground material (0.500 ± 0.01 g) were weighed and transferred into an acid washed 100 ml Kjeldahl digestion tube. 10 ml of concentrated AnalaR nitric acid (69 %) was added to each tube and thoroughly mixed. The petri dish was placed on each tube and the samples were left overnight in the fume hood. On the next day, the tubes were placed in digestion block and heated continuously for 1 hour at 60°C . The temperature was gradually increased and digested for further 6 hours at 110°C . The tubes were removed from the block, allowed to cool and then filtered in acid washed 100 ml volumetric flasks through a filter paper (Whatman 40). Successive rinsing of tubes was done with deionised water and thus the volume of the flask was made upto mark. The concentrations of Fe, Cu and Zn were determined by Atomic Absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) following the method of single acid digestion as described by Westerman (1990) (19). Co-efficient of variation (cv) and Least Significant Difference (Lsd) were computed from difference in mean (\bar{x}) using MStatc programme.

3. Results

3.1. Zinc concentrations

Zinc concentration in the seeds of different wheat genotypes ranged from 12.7 to $55.50 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, when determined on oven dry weight basis (Table-1). The genotype SI-99-65 showed the highest accumulation of Zn ($55.50 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) whereas the lowest Zn concentration was recorded in Maxi Pak ($12.70 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$). It was further observed that out of 78 genotypes under investigation, the Zn concentration ranged between 30.4 to $55.5 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ in 31 genotype, from 21.08 to 29.8 in 37 genotype and from 12.72 to 19.08 in 10 genotypes. Likely variations in different wheat genotypes for Zn accumulations have been reported by Qureshi *et al.* (1990), Imtiaz *et al.* (2003) [2, 7].

3.2. Zinc contents

Zinc contents (on single seed basis) have been presented in Table 2. The data revealed that genotypes exhibited significant variations in Zn contents of their seed and ranged from 0.41 to $2.09 \mu\text{g/seed}$. The maximum Zn content of $2.09 \mu\text{g/seed}$ was recorded in genotype SS-99-65 and the lowest ($0.41 \mu\text{g/seed}$) in Uqab. The genotype, V-7002, Anmol and SI-99-15 were also found Zn rich genotypes as their Zn contents were found to be, 1.93, 1.92 and $1.76 \mu\text{g/seed}$ (Table 2). Of the different genotypes, 38 were recorded as poor in

Zn and ranged from 0.41 to $1.06 \mu\text{g Zn/seed}$. These results are in accordance with those of Imtiaz (1999) [7].

3.3. Iron concentrations

Similar to Zn concentration, Fe concentration, within the seed of different genotypes varied considerably. Maximum Fe concentration of $52.43 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ was observed in genotype V-7001 where as minimum concentration ($13.03 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) was recorded in SI-99-85 (Table-1) these results are similar to those of Graham Welch, (1997) [8].

3.4. Iron contents

The results revealed that average Fe contents ($2.16 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) of genotype V-7001 were significantly higher as compared with the contents of other genotypes (Table 2). The lowest Fe contents of $0.40 \mu\text{g/seed}$ were recorded in genotype SI-99-85. These results are in agreement with those of Imtiaz *et al.*; (2005). [7].

3.5. Copper concentration

The concentrations of Cu also varied significantly from variety to variety. Compared to Fe and Zn, the concentration of Cu was quite low and ranged from 2.66 to $6.10 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ (Table-1) The wheat genotype SI-99-65 had the higher Cu concentration ($6.10 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$), which were significantly different from rest of the genotypes. The cultivar Uqab was found to be the poorest in Cu with a concentration of $2.66 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ only. These results are similar to those of Graham and Welch, (1997) [8].

3.6. Copper contents

The results revealed that Cu contents in all the genotypes under study ranged from 0.08 to $0.25 \mu\text{g/seed}$. Genotype Abadgar accumulated the highest contents of Cu ($0.25 \mu\text{g/seed}$) where as the Cu reserves of the Uqab were only $0.08 \mu\text{g/seed}$. Imtiaz *et al.*, (2003) [7] also reported the similar findings while studying the wheat genotypes for micronutrient status.

4. Discussion

Seed size and seed quality are important determinant of dietary nutrition seedling vigor and growth of cereals [9]. Large seeds produce improved crop stand, which outyield the crop derived from small seeds and gather bigger reserves of food [10]. The seed quality expressed as higher seed content results in better human nutrition [11] vegetative growth and grain yield [12].

The present study showed a large variation in acquisition of these mineral elements, which substantiate the earlier findings of those reported by Imtiaz et al., (2003); Ahmed et al., (1998); Takkar and Walker, (1993) [7,13,14]. Variation in acquisition of these elements by different genotypes can be attributed to genetic makeup [8], agro-climatic conditions in which cereals are grown and the fertility of the soil, which is more important [15]. In general the heavier seeds of genotypes have higher mineral contents (Fe, Cu, Zn), however, dilution in concentration was noticed in certain genotypes [4].

Balanced human nutrition consists of a proper diet in terms of carbohydrate, lipids and proteins. Apart from these organic nutrients, ample amounts of inorganic nutrients must also be part of human diet. The deficiencies of these inorganic nutrients (Fe, Zn and Cu) can affect brain and immune functions [16-17]. Globally, about two billion people in more than hundred countries are victims of multiple micronutrient deficiencies [18-19]. More than 90% of pregnant women and pre-school children in developing countries show signs of Fe deficiency, anemia and other related disorders. Iron, Zn and Ca are also required at maximum level during adolescent stage. Element malnutrition in much of the human population has been dealt by fortifying the cereals with a plant and animal product, which increases the cost of production of these commodities. Due to wide availability of staples, their share in malnourished food is always higher. In present study concentration was focused on large number of wheat genotypes to disseminate information about their elemental nutrition. The researchers involved in biofortification of wheat can have prebasic status of Fe, Zn and Cu in existing domestic genotypes. The wheat genotypes like Anmol, V-7002, SI-99-15 and V-7001, which have higher concentration of these mineral elements, can be included in breeding programme to enhance the amount of these elements for human consumption and overcome the problem of deficiencies of these elements in human and soil.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to PARC for providing funds for the study under ALP programme.

References

- [1] S.J. Khurshid and I.H. Qureshi. *The Nucleus*, **21** (1984) 3.
- [2] I.H. Qureshi, A. Manan, J.H. Zaidi, M. Arif, and N. Khalid, *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.*, **30** (1990) 565.
- [3] I.H. Qureshi, S. Ahmad, J.H. Zaidi, A. Manan, S. Waheed, I. Fatima, M. Arif and R. Rahman. *The Nucleus*, **33** (1996) 1.
- [4] L. Kiekensa and B. J. Alloway (Eds.), *Zinc In Heavy Metals in Soils*, Blackie Academic and Professional, London (1995).
- [5] C.J. Asher. *Research and Resolutions*. Eds. I.M. Wood, W.H. Hazard and F. From. *Aust. Inst. Agric. Sci.*, Brisbane, Australia, (1987) 88.
- [6] R. L. Westerman. *Soil Testing and Plant Analysis* (Ed). *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA* (1990).
- [7] M. Imtiaz, B.J. Alloway, K.H. Shah, S.H. Siddiqui, M.Y. Memon, M. Aslam and P. Khan. *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, **2** (2003) 1118.
- [8] R.D. Graham and R.M. Welch. *Proceedings. 9th International Symp. on Trace Elements in Man and Animals* (Eds. P.W.F. Fisher et al.) *N.R.C Research Press, Ottawa*. (1997) pp. 447.
- [9] A.R. Mian and E. D. Nafziger, *J. Prod. Agric.*, **5** (1992) 265.
- [10] C.M. Grieve and L.E. Francois, *Plant Soil*, **147** (1992) 197.
- [11] M. Ahmad, M. Hussain and M. Shafique, *The Nucleus*, **38** (2001) 311.
- [12] Z. Rengel and R. D. Graham. *Plant and Soil*, **173** (1995a) 259.
- [13] M. Ahmad, T. Ahmad, A. M. Soomro and A.W. Baloch. (Eds. S.S.M. Naqvi, et al.). *Atomic Energy Agricultural Research Centre, Tando Jam, Pakistan* (1998) pp. 297.
- [14] N. Bibi, M. Ahmad, Aurangzeb, A. Badshah and I. Khan. *The Nucleus*, **25** (1988) 15.
- [15] P.N. Takkar and C.D. Walker. *Soil and Plants*. Ed. A.D. Robson. *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht*, (1993) 151-165.
- [16] *Food and Agricultural Organization and World Health Organization Theme paper No.6 International Conference on Nutrition, Rome, December 5-11(1992)*.
- [17] R.K. Chandra, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, **587** (1990) 9.
- [18] V. Iyengar, *International Atomic Energy Agency Bulletin*, **3** (2001)15.
- [19] *World Health Organization National Strategies for Overcoming Micronutrient Malnutrition, Geneva* (1992).