



## Effect of Different Auxins on Rooting of Semi Hard and Soft Wood Cuttings of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) CV. Safeda

R. Zamir<sup>1</sup>, Abdur Rab<sup>2</sup>, M. Sajid<sup>2</sup>, G.S.S. Khattak<sup>1</sup>, S.A. Khalil<sup>1</sup> and S.T. Shah<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nuclear Institute for Food and Agriculture (NIFA), Tarnab, Peshawar, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Horticulture, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan

[roshanzamirhort@gmail.com](mailto:roshanzamirhort@gmail.com); [abdurraubaup@gmail.com](mailto:abdurraubaup@gmail.com); [sajidhort@hotmail.com](mailto:sajidhort@hotmail.com); [gsskt@yahoo.com](mailto:gsskt@yahoo.com); [shahidkhalil@yahoo.com](mailto:shahidkhalil@yahoo.com); [stariq81@yahoo.com](mailto:stariq81@yahoo.com)

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history :

Received : 23 January, 2107

Revised : 03 March, 2017

Accepted : 14 March, 2017

#### Keywords:

*Psidium guajava* L.,

Semi hard and softwood cuttings,

Indole-3-butyric acid (IBA),

Indole -3-acetic acid (IAA),

Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA),

Rooting

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of different auxin on rooting in soft and semi-hard wood stem cuttings of guava. The maximum 80.3% sprouting response was recorded after 32 days of planting when soft wood cuttings were treated with 100 mg IAA/100 g talcum powder. Comparatively IAA was stronger than IBA in sprouting for both types of cuttings. The maximum number of leaves (8) was recorded in soft wood cuttings treated with IBA. The number of leaves in soft wood cuttings treated with either IBA or IAA and NAA were greater than semi hard wood cuttings. The maximum number of roots (27.6) per cutting was recorded in soft wood cuttings treated with IAA. As compared to control, auxin treatment promoted rooting in both types of cuttings. The maximum number of roots per cutting ranged from 11 to 17.3 in semi hard wood cuttings, while in soft wood cutting it ranged from 15.6 to 27.6. Irrespective of cutting type, IAA and NAA seem to be the most efficient in promoting roots. By contrast, IBA was more effective only in soft wood cuttings. The ultimate plant survival is, however, the key in such studies. In overall experiment, maximum of 28% survival rate was recorded in soft wood cuttings when exposed to IAA at 100 mg per 100 g talcum powder combination.

### 1. Introduction

Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) an economically important fruit crop of Pakistan and other warm countries of the world. Mature guava fruits are sweet in taste and have attractive flavor [21]. Fruit is not only used for fresh consumption but is also used in a variety of ways in food processing industry for making jellies, jams, squashes as well as in herbal products [13,17]. Fruit contains 82% water, 0.7% protein, 11% carbohydrate and desirable amounts of vitamin A, B, C, minerals and high amount of pectin [14]. It also contains high amount of vitamin-c than tomatoes and oranges [13, 19]. Guava is a good source of calcium, nicotinic acid, phosphorous and soluble fiber [18]. Guava fruit is also medicinally important [21]. It accelerates immune system, reducing cholesterol level and protecting the heart [14, 18]. Guava is extensively grown in Sindh, Punjab and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa provinces of Pakistan and occupies third position after citrus and mango in terms of area and production [7]. Guava is a productive and highly profitable fruit crop. Guava is preferred by fruit growers due to its wide adaptability and higher return per unit area that can be grown on a wide variety of soils from heavy clay to light sandy soil and with a range of pH from 4.5 to 8.5 [3,15].

Most of the horticultural plants are vegetatively propagated by different methods such as air layering, stooling, budding, grafting, root cuttings and stem cuttings [14]. However, guava does not lend itself easily to the various asexual propagation methods [11]. The only method of its commercial propagation is through seed but this method limits the standardization of its cultivars [5, 13]. Guava is difficult to propagate by the methods most commonly employed with other fruits. It is also difficult to propagate by stem cuttings through the use of ordinary methods [11]. However, investigation on propagation of guava has also been on limited scale and scope [16].

In general cutting are the cheapest, rapid and simplest method of propagation and the new plants develop from cutting are true to type and uniform in growth [1]. Such plants come into bearing earlier than the seedlings and do not require any special techniques as needed in grafting, budding and layering [21]. Stem cuttings of guava are normally difficult to root but different root promoting plant growth regulators can be used for induction of rooting [19]. Among the plant growth regulators, auxins are most extensively studied for its promoting effects [1]. Synthetic auxins can be utilized for induction of roots on stem cuttings of various plants, micropropagation of plant species and setting of fruits [1, 10, 19].

\* Corresponding author

Thus, the treatment of cuttings with auxins appears to be the most satisfactory method of propagation so far tested [19]. Therefore, the current studies were carried out to establish a reliable protocol for the propagation of guava from stem cuttings (semi-hard wood and soft wood) by the application of different auxins (IBA, IAA and NAA @100mg/100g talcum powder).

## 2. Material and Methods

Effect of auxins on rooting of semi hard and soft wood cuttings of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Safeda was conducted in the lathe house of NIFA, Tarnab, Peshawar, Pakistan. The overall objective of the current experiment was to establish a reliable propagation protocol for guava from stem cuttings (semi-hard wood and soft wood) by the application of different Auxins (IBA, IAA and NAA at 100mg/100g talcum powder).

### 2.1 Filling and Preparation of Plastic Bags

Plastic bags with small holes were filled with river silt which contains clay and sand. Small holes were made in the plastic bags before filling with sand to provide aeration and leaching of excessive water.

### 2.2 Preparation of Cuttings

Soft and semi-hard wood stem cuttings of guava were taken from terminal portion of 5-6 years old bearing orchard. The cuttings 12-15cm long contained 6-8 buds each with a pair of leaves on the top of cutting.

### 2.3 Preparation of Auxin Mixture

Stock mixture was prepared in a beaker by blending 100 mg of IBA with 100g of talcum powder. Similar procedure was repeated for preparing IAA and NAA mixtures. Two gram of fungicide (Radonil-Syngent Int.) was also added to each mixture.

### 2.4 Application of Auxin Mixture

The basal portion (2-3 cm) of each cutting was dipped in the auxin mixture. In control treatments cuttings were planted without dipping in mixture.

### 2.5 Planting of Cuttings

Treated cuttings were immediately planted 6-7cm deep in plastic bags already filled with silt. For arresting enough humidity, these bags were covered in low tunnels of polythene sheets. Cuttings were irrigated immediately after planting with sprinkler. All other cultural practices along with daily irrigation in the form of mist were practiced during the whole experiment.

### 2.6 Experimental Design and Data Recording

The Experiment was laid out in split plot design, replicated 3 times with 50 cuttings per replicate. There were two factors, i.e. auxins (IAA, IBA, NAA and control) as main factor and two types of cuttings (semi hard wood & soft wood) as sub factor. Therefore, total

number of cuttings in the experiment were;  $4 \times 2 \times 3 \times 50 = 1200$ . The data was recorded on the following parameters;

#### 2.6.1 Days to sprouting

In order to record data on days to sprouting, the experiment was observed from the second week of planting and was daily checked. The days to sprouting was recorded when more than 50% (more than 25 cuttings) in each replication was sprouted and then average of the three replications was calculated.

#### 2.6.2 Percent sprouting

The data on percent sprouting was recorded after 8 weeks of planting of cuttings in all replications of each treatment and the average of three replicates were converted into percent sprouting

#### 2.6.3 Number of leaves per cutting

For this parameter five cuttings from all replications of each treatment were randomly selected and the average was calculated.

#### 2.6.4 Number of roots per cuttings

The data on this parameter was recorded after completion of the experiment and five randomly selected cuttings were taken from each replication and treatment and the average number of roots per cuttings was calculated.

#### 2.6.5 Percent survival

The data on percent survival was recorded in Mrach/April after completion of the whole experiment. The survived cuttings in each replication of every treatment was counted, averaged and were converted into percent survival and the data were analyzed by using the software Stat. 8.1 USA analysis of variance and LSD test to check the difference among treatments.

## 3. Results and Discussions

### 3.1 Days to Sprouting

Data on the effect of different auxins on days to sprouting of semi hard and soft wood cuttings of guava indicates that auxins have significantly affected days to sprouting (Fig. 1). The minimum mean number of days to sprouting (25.1) was recorded in IBA treated cuttings. This was followed by 26 days in control while the maximum number of days (28 and 28.6) was taken to sprouting by those cuttings treated with IAA and NAA (Fig.1). Similarly the effect of different auxins on cutting types (semi hard and soft wood) was also significant. The lowest mean number of days (24.8) were taken to sprouting by semi hard wood cuttings while soft wood cuttings taken 29 days to sprouting (Fig. 1). The interaction of auxins and types of cuttings was non

significant. In overall experiment, the minimum number of days (23.3) was recorded in the semi hard wood




Fig. 1: Effect of auxin on days to sprouting of semi hard and soft wood cuttings of guava. Means with same letters are not significantly different at  $P < 0.05$  using DMR test

cuttings treated with IBA while the maximum number of days (29) was taken to sprouting by the soft wood cuttings treated with NAA at 100 mg/100g talcum powder.

The present study shows that mere sprouting of cuttings is not important as depicted from the final survival of the cuttings. Whereas the control treatments took the least days to sprouting (23.3) in semi hard wood cuttings (Fig. 11). In earlier experiments the effect of Indole-3- acetic acid, Indole-3- butyric acid and Naphthalene aeticacid on the semi hardwood cuttings of guava was studied and very little effect of these auxins was reported on days to sprouting [20]. Similarly no effect of different concentrations of IBA was reported on days to sprouting by [8]. As depicted from present findings, the results of [8, 20] also suggests that auxins play little role in days to sprouting.

### 3.2 Percent Sprouting

Different auxins significantly affected percent sprouting in semi hard and soft wood cuttings of guava. The maximum mean percent sprouting 73.8% was recorded in the cuttings treated with IAA. This was followed by 67.6 and 62% sprouting in the cuttings treated with IBA and NAA respectively. While the lowest mean sprouting of 61.8% was recorded in the control treatment. Similarly, the effect of auxins on types of cuttings was also significant with mean sprouting of 73.7% in soft wood and 58.9% in semi hard wood cuttings (Fig. 2). The interaction of auxins and types of cuttings was significant. In interaction, the maximum 80.3% sprouting was recorded in soft wood cuttings treated with IAA. However, the sprouted percentage in control was 50.6% and 73% in semi hard wood and soft wood cuttings respectively. The relatively superior performance of soft wood cutting is evident from the

observation that all the treatments had higher with soft wood cuttings. The sprouting percentage in IAA treated




Fig. 2: Effect of auxin on percent sprouting of semi hard and soft wood cuttings of guava. Means with same letters are not significantly different at  $P < 0.05$  using DMR test

both types of cuttings (semi hard and soft wood) was, however, higher (67.3 and 80.3%) compared to control (Fig. 2).

The data in Fig. 2, however, points to the fact that auxin also plays a role in initial sprouting. Indol acetic acid (IAA) seems affective in sprouting of both semi-hard wood and soft wood cuttings. When compared to IAA, IBA did not enhanced sprouting markedly and was about similar to control. In over all experiment, maximum of 80.3% sprouting was observed when soft wood cuttings were treated with IAA while 70% sprouting response was recorded when soft wood cuttings were exposed to similar concentration of IBA (Fig. 2). For semi-hard wood cuttings treated with IBA, 65.3% sprouting was recorded. With similar concentration of IAA, semi-hard wood cuttings exhibited 67.3% sprouting. Compared to IAA treatment, IBA seems the least affective in sprouting of cuttings.

In a similar study on stem cuttings from mature stock plants of guava, 60% sprouting response was recorded in cuttings treated with 0.4% IBA solution [1]. In another experiment, 71.2% sprouting response was reported in soft wood cuttings treated with paclobutrazol at 1000 ppm solution [19]. In contrast to our experiment, [8] carried out studies on semi hard wood stem cuttings of guava treated with different concentrations of IBA and recorded (37.49%) sprouting. In this experiment 52.3% sprouting response was recorded when semi hard wood cuttings were exposed to NAA. However, in present study with similar NAA concentration, soft wood cutting produced 71.6% sprouting response as compared to 80.3% with IAA (Fig. 2). Thus, IAA seems superior to NAA. However, when compared in cutting type, all the auxins had higher sprouting in soft wood cuttings as compared to semi hard wood cuttings. The effectiveness of IAA by achieving high sprouting percentage (67.3 and 80.3%)

compared to control, irrespective of cutting types, points the fact that IAA is stronger auxins for treating of cuttings to get high sprouting percentage and that soft wood cutting is more desirable than semi hard wood cutting. These results are in conformity with the findings of several researchers who reported effectiveness of auxins treatment in sprouting of stem cuttings of guava [6, 19, 20]. The relatively superior response of soft wood cutting as compared to semi hard wood cutting may be due to it greater response to the auxins treatments [20].

### 3.3 Number of Leaves

The data regarding effect of auxin on number of leaves per cutting had no significant effect in semi hard and soft wood cutting of guava. The maximum mean number of leaves (5.8) was recorded in cuttings treated with IBA followed by 5.5 in IAA treated cuttings while control and NAA treated cuttings were comparable by producing 4.8 leaves. The effect of auxins on types of cuttings was significant with mean 6.6 and 3.7 leaves in soft and semi hard wood cuttings respectively. The interaction of auxins and types of cuttings was also non significant. In overall experiment, maximum of eight leaves were recorded in soft wood cuttings treated with IBA. It was followed by IAA with 7.7 numbers of leaves. Contrarily NAA was less effective (5.6 leaves) than IBA and IAA in soft wood cuttings (Fig. 3). The minimum number of leaves was recorded in control and NAA treated cuttings. In semi-hard wood cuttings, however, NAA proved most effective by producing four leaves. In our studies the maximum number of leaves (8.0) was recorded with IBA treated cuttings. The findings of our results can be confirmed from the earlier experiments of [8] who recorded the highest (13.9) number of leaves per cuttings treated with IBA. In contrast, the effectiveness of IAA over other auxins was investigated in previous experiments by [20]. However, in our study we also got the second most 7.7 numbers of leaves with IAA treated soft wood cuttings (Fig. 3). In present studies comparatively the least number of leaves were recorded in semi hard wood cuttings treated with auxin. The possible




Fig. 3: Effect of auxin on number of leaves in semi hard and soft wood cuttings of guava. Means with same letters are not significantly different at P<0.05 using DMR test

explanation of it could that in soft wood cuttings the internodes length is the least resulting in more number of nodes per unit cutting length. Though not recorded, it is presumed that more number of nodes in soft wood compared to semi hard wood cuttings has resulted in leaves more than enough to compensate higher number of roots in auxin treated cuttings (Fig. 4).

### 3.4 Number of Roots

Data in Fig. 4 indicates that all auxins significantly promoted rooting irrespective of cutting types. The maximum mean number of roots (22.1) was produced by the cuttings treated with IAA followed by 20.1 in the cuttings treated with NAA. The interaction of auxins and types of cuttings on the number of roots was no significant. In present study maximum number of roots ranges from 11 to 17.3 in semi hard wood cuttings. Whereas, in soft wood cutting it ranges from 15.6 to 27.6 roots per cutting. IAA and NAA seem the most efficient in promoting roots irrespective of cutting type. However, IBA has given good results only in soft wood cuttings. This parameter, once again confirm the effectiveness of IAA over IBA. Rooting is the most important parameter resulted in the ultimate survival of the plants. Soft wood cuttings treated with IAA produced maximum of 27.6 roots compared to 23 roots with NAA and IBA. In semi hard wood cuttings, NAA and IAA have shown their effectiveness over IBA. In the cuttings treated with NAA, 17.3 roots were counted which was almost similar to those treated with IAA. Similar results with the application of IAA and NAA were also reported by [19,20]. In the present investigations when the soft wood cuttings were treated with IBA, maximum number of 23 roots was recorded. The results of our findings with IBA treated cuttings is confirmed from the earlier experiment of [8] who recorded 21.2 number of roots in semi hard wood cuttings treated with 1000 ppm IBA. In a separate study it reported that as the IBA concentration increases in one liter water, its potential of root initiation also




Fig. 4: Effect of auxin on number of roots in semi hard and soft wood cuttings of guava. Means with same letters are not significantly different at P<0.05 using DMR test




Fig. 5: Effect of auxin on root length of semi hard and soft wood cuttings of guava. Means with same letters are not significantly different at  $P < 0.05$  using DMR test

increases [4]. Similar results were also observed by other researchers that IBA can induce rooting response in soft and semi-hard wood cuttings of guava [1, 19, 20].

### 3.5 Average root length (cm)

The data shows that different auxins significantly affected the root length of semi hard and soft wood cuttings. The maximum mean root length of 2.5 cm was recorded in the cuttings treated with IAA. This was followed by 2.1 cm in the IBA treated cuttings. NAA and control treatments have shown almost similar root length of 1.8 cm. The effect of auxins on root length of types of cuttings was no significant with 2.1 and 2.0 cm root length in soft and semi hard wood cuttings respectively. The interaction of auxins and types of cuttings was also non significant. In overall experiment, the highest root length of 2.5 cm was recorded in both types of cuttings treated with IAA (Fig. 5). This was followed by 2.3 cm in soft wood cuttings treated with IBA at 100mg/100g talcum powder. While NAA and control treatments have shown almost similar root length (1.8 cm). In present studies, the maximum mean root length of 2.5 cm, irrespective of cutting type, was recorded in IAA treated cuttings. These results are confirmed by the findings of [20] who recorded maximum root length of 14.1 cm in guava stem cuttings treated with 3000 ppm IAA as compared to the smallest root length with 4000 IBA treated cuttings. By contrast [10] studied the effect of IBA on guava cuttings and found that application of IBA improved the rooting quality in guava especially the root length and number of roots in cuttings. Similarly the highest root length of 6.5 cm was reported in the hard wood cuttings of guava treated with 1000 ppm IBA solution and it was also observed that all concentrations of IBA were better than other treatments [9].

### 3.6 Percent survival

Cutting survival was significantly affected by the application of different auxin (Fig. 6). The maximum




Fig. 6: Effect of auxin on percent survival of semi hard and soft wood cuttings of guava. Means with same letters are not significantly different at  $P < 0.05$  using DMR test

mean survival of 23% was recorded in IAA treated cuttings followed by 20.2% in IBA. The lowest mean survival of 10.6% was recorded in control treatments. The effect of different auxin on types of cutting was also significant with 15% survival in semi hard and 19.8% survival in soft wood cuttings.

The interaction of auxin and types of cuttings was also significant. In over all experiment, IAA treated cuttings have maximum (28) survival percentage. Whereas control cuttings have the least (only 10.3%). Compared to least survival in control the highest survival percentage in cuttings treated with auxin could be due to differences in number of roots on one hand but most importantly due to time taken to root initiation. Since auxin promote roots initiation, delayed root initiation exposes cuttings to lengthy dehydration which might have happened in control cuttings and consequently have resulted in the least plant survival. On the contrary, forced and rapid root initiation in auxin treated cuttings might have, irrespective of the number of roots as is the case in IBA treated cuttings, shortened the dehydration span of cuttings and thus resulted in higher survival rate. It is the logical explanation of higher plants survival in IAA treated cuttings where the number of root is almost equal to those in control treatment. The maximum plant survival in auxin treated cuttings could also be due to root length (Fig. 5). Earlier it was reported that survival of stem cuttings of guava significantly affected by various types of root promoting auxins [19]. In another experiment it was reported that application of auxins on semi hard wood cuttings of guava significantly increased the survival percentage [20]. Similar findings was also reported by [1] that the application of auxins can induce number of roots in the cuttings which lead to increase rooting in stem cutting of guava and can indirectly increase survival rate of the cuttings. The effect of growth regulators (IBA, NAA and paclobutrazol) on the rooting of cuttings of guava had significant effect on rooting and final survival of the cuttings plants [2, 12].

#### 4. Conclusions

The sprouted percentage in treated cuttings was higher (52.3 to 80.3%) compared to control treatments (50.6% and 73% in semi hard wood and soft wood cuttings). All auxins have promoted rooting irrespective of cutting types. Soft wood cuttings treated with IAA produced maximum of 27.6 roots compared to 23 roots with NAA and IBA. IAA is the strongest auxin in case of rooting induction in semi hard wood cuttings followed by NAA and IBA. The ultimate plant survival is the key in such studies. In over all experiment, IAA treated cuttings have maximum (28) survival percentage. Whereas control cuttings have the least (only 10.3%). Compared to least survival in control the highest survival percentage in cuttings treated with auxin could be due to differences in number of roots on one hand but most importantly due to time taken to root initiation.

#### References

- [1] A.T. M. Abdullah, M. A. Hossain and M. K. Bhuiyan, "Clonal propagation of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) by stem cuttings from mature stock plants", J. Forestry Res., vol.17, pp. 301-304, 2006.
- [2] M. Ahmed, I. Ahmed, M.H. Laghari and Hidayatullah, "Effect of growth regulators on rooting in softwood cuttings of guava under mist condition", Sarhad J. Agric., vol. 14, pp. 423-425, 1998.
- [3] N. Ali, R. M. S. Mulwa, M. A. Norton and R. M. Skirvin, "Micropropagation of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.)", J. Hort. Sci. Biotech., vol.78, pp. 739-741, 2003.
- [4] M. A. Bacarin, M. M. P. Beincasa, V. M. M. Andavade and F. M. Pereira, "Rooting of stem cuttings of guava: effect of indole butyric acid on root of guava", Cientifica Jab., vol. 22, pp. 71-79, 1994.
- [5] N.N. Gautam, K. Singh., B. Singh., S. Seal. A. Goel and V.L. Goel, "Studies on clonal propagation of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) through cuttings under controlled conditions", Australian J. Crop Sci., vol. 4, pp. 666-669, 2010.
- [6] M.S. Khattak, M. Inayatullah and S. Khan, "Propagation of guava from semi hard wood cuttings", Frontier J. Agri. Res., vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 81-92, 1983.
- [7] A. Khushak, M. A. Memon and M. I. Lashari, "Factors affecting guava production in Pakistan", J. Agric. Res., vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 201-209, 2009.
- [8] M. Luqman, N. Ali and M. Sajjid, "Effect of different concentrations of indolebutyric acid (IBA) on semi hard wood guava cuttings", Sarhad J. Agric., vol. 20, pp. 219-222, 2004.
- [9] A. Manan, M.A. Khan, W. Ahmed and A. Sattar, "Clonal propagation of guava", Int. J. Agric. and Biol., vol. 4, no. 1, 143-144, 2002.
- [10] S. K. Mitra and T.K. Bose, "Standardization of propagation techniques by cuttings of some tropical fruit crops", Sci. Hort., vol. 5, pp. 1-7, 1996.
- [11] H. Rahman, M.A.Khan, K.M.Khokhar, M.H.Laghari and H.Rahman, "Effect of season on rooting ability of tip cuttings of guava treated with pactobutrazol", Indian J. Agri. Sci., vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 404-406, 1991.
- [12] N. Rahman, T. Ullah, G. Nabi and T. Jan, "Effect of different growth regulator and types of cuttings on rooting of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.)", Quarterly Sci. Vision, vol. 9, pp. 1-2, 2002.
- [13] M. K. Rai, V. S. Jaiswal and U. Jaiswal, "Shoot multiplication and plant regeneration of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) from nodal explants of *in vitro* raised plantlets", J. fruit and ornamental plant Res., vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 29-38, 2009.
- [14] M.K. Rai, P. Asthana, V. S. Jaiswal and U. Jaiswal, "Biotechnological advances in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.): Recent developments and prospects for future research", Trees, vol. 24, pp. 1-12, 2010.
- [15] J. A. Samson, "Tropical fruits", 2nd ed., Tropical Agriculture Series, Longman Scientific & Technical, Longman Inc., New York. 1986.
- [16] A. Shekafandeh and M. Khosh-Khui, "Factors affecting *in vitro* establishment of guava (*Psidium guava* L.) explants", American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 672-679, 2007.
- [17] D. Singh, S. Mohammed and A. K. Shukla, "Micropropagation of guava as influenced by season, source and pretreatment to explants", 1st International Guava Symposium, CISH, Lucknow, India, 2005.
- [18] G. Singh, "Strategies for improved production in guava", In: Kishan R, Mishra AK, Singh G, Chandra R (eds) Proc. of 1st International Guava Symposium, CISH, Lucknow, India, pp: 26-39, 2005.
- [19] Tahseenullah, F. U. Wazir, M. Ahmad, F. Analoui, M. U. Khan and M. Ahmad, "A break through in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) propagation from cuttings", Asian J. Plant Sci., vol. 4, pp. 238-243, 2005.
- [20] F. Wahab, G. Nabi, N. Ali and M. Shah, "Rooting response of semi-hardwood cuttings of guava (*Psidium guajava*) to various concentrations of different Auxins", J. Biological Sci., vol. 1, pp. 184-187, 2001.
- [21] U. L. Yadava, "Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.): An exotic tree fruit with potential in the south eastern United States", Hort. Sci., vol. 31, vol. 5, pp. 793-798, 1996.